





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,880	09/894,880 06/29/2001		Todd M. Bertoch	ISR 99-24 06	3427
27370	7590	03/25/2004	; .	EXAMINER	
		STAFF JUDGE AD	THANH, LOAN H		
			MATERIEL COMMAND		
ATTN: MC	MR-JA (MS. ELIZABETH AF	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
504 SCOTT	STREE	Γ	3763		
FORT DET	RICK, M	ID 21702-5012			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
A. Sin M.				_				
•	Office Action Summary	09/894,880	BERTOCH ET AL.					
	Omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAN INC DATE of the commission of the	LoAn H. Thanh	3763	_				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	in the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per uner to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the month after the month of the maximum statutory that the month is after the month of the month o	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	pply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 January 2004						
. 2a)□		This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,8,14 and 15</u> is/a Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4,7 and 9-13</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from considera	ion.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a) accepted or b) obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) .				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
2) Noti	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date 6-12.	Paper No(ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I (figs. 1-4) in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Applicant is unable to refute logic to the restriction and that a prima facie case has not been laid out. This is not found persuasive because applicant is given the reasons for the restriction in paper number 13. There are different embodiments disclosed and applicants was requested to make the election. Further more, proper traversal to the election should have been directed to the embodiments/species being obvious or variants to each other. Applicant has stated that he does "not admit or imply that any of the claims are obvious over any other pending claim in the application". Applicant is directed to page 3 of the previous office action with respect to proper traversal. Applicant has disclosed in the specification that the figures are of different embodiments.

The Examiner is in agreement with applicant that claims 1, 16-18 are generic.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the soft material lining (claim 7) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has evoked sixth paragraph, means-plus-function language to define Applicant's invention. Therefore the Examiner requires the Applicant to amend the specification pursuant to 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP 608.01(o) to explicitly state, with reference to the terms and phrases of the claim element, what structure, materials, and acts perform the function recited in the claim element. Please note that the MPEP clearly states, "Even if the disclosure implicitly sets forth the structure, materials, or acts corresponding to the means-(or step-) plus-function claim element in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, the PTO may still require the applicant to amend the specification pursuant to 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP 608.01(o)...". (Also see MPEP 2181 (Rev. 1, Feb.2000)) Wolfensperger, 302 F.2d at 955, 133 USPQ at 542. Appropriate correction is required.

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: There is no support for a soft material lining at least said connecting wall within the channel.

Claim Obj ctions

Claims 17-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has evoked sixth paragraph, means-plus-function language to define Applicant's invention. Therefore the Examiner has objected to the claims for the reasons set forth above in the objection to the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6,16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bradley et al. (U.S. Patent N o. 5,626,128).

Bradley et al. Disclose a device comprising an anterior region and two posterior region (same as applicant has shown), a latch 40 and a wedge 42. The latch is mounted on the guard for rotation between an open and closed position. The guard includes a channel 30 framed by two walls. See figures 1-2.

Allowabl Subject Matter

Claims 4,7,9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 19-20 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not disclose or render obvious a device in combination with all the elements and further including a first and a second post which are spaced from each other in the anterior region and each of the posts engage the latch and a wedge extending from one of the posterior regions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LoAn H. Thanh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

LT

LOAN H.THANH PRIMARY EXAMINER